

New Realization of the Loop Algebras and Their Indecomposable Modules.

CHANG-PU SUN and HONG-CHEN FU

*Physics Department, Northeast Normal University
Changchen, Jilin Province, The People's Republic of China*

(ricevuto l'8 Febbraio 1989)

Summary. — A new realization of the loop algebra \hat{G} (untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra) is given on the enveloping field $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of the Bose algebra \mathcal{H} . By making use of this new realization nontrivial infinite-dimensional indecomposable representations and finite-dimensional representations of \hat{G} are constructed on $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and its quotient spaces. Finally, as an explicit example, the loop algebra $\widehat{SU}(2)$ associated with Lie algebra $SU(2)$ is discussed in detail.

PACS 03.65.Fd – Algebraic methods.

1. – Introduction.

Indecomposable modules of physically relevant Lie algebras have been suggested [1-3] for the description of unstable particles. Gruber and his cooperators have studied indecomposable representations of some physically relevant Lie algebras on their universal enveloping algebras [4-6]. By making use of the method of Bose realization used to study the indecomposable representations of Lie algebra [7] and Lie superalgebras [8], we discussed Virasoro and Kac-Moody algebras [9], which have appeared as a new kind of symmetry algebra in many areas of physics [10].

The Bose algebra \mathcal{H} , also called the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, is defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}: \{a_i^+, a_i, E | i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad [a_i, a_j^+] = \delta_{ij} E, \quad [a_i^+, E] = [a_i, E] = 0.$$

In ref. [9], because the representatives of element E in the indecomposable representations of the Bose algebra are unit matrices, the indecomposable

representations of loop algebras constructed in [9] are more trivial. However, it is significant in mathematical physics to consider the representation of \mathcal{H} in which the representative of E is not a unit matrix [11].

In this paper we give firstly a new realization of loop algebra \hat{G} on the enveloping field $\bar{\Omega}$ of \mathcal{H} in sect. 2. Then we construct a class of nontrivial infinite-dimensional indecomposable representations of loop algebra \hat{G} in which the representative of E is not unit matrix on $\bar{\Omega}$ and its quotient spaces in sect. 3. In sect. 4, a class of finite-dimensional (irreducible) representations is induced on quotient spaces of $\bar{\Omega}$. Finally, in sect. 5, as an explicit example, the loop algebra $\widehat{SU}(2)$ associated with Lie algebra $SU(2)$ is discussed in detail.

The symbols \mathbf{N} , \mathbf{N}^+ , \mathbf{Z} denote the set of nonnegative integers, the set of positive integers and the set of all integers, respectively. The symbol \mathbf{C} denotes complex number field.

According to PBW theorem, the basis of universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{H})$ of the Bose algebra \mathcal{H} can be chosen as

$$(2) \quad \left\{ f(m_i, n_i, n) \equiv \left[\prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{+m_i} a_i^{n_i} \right] E | m_i, n_i, n \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

Because $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is an Ore ring, one can introduce the enveloping field Ω of \mathcal{H} (also called Heisenberg field) which incorporates in a natural way, quotients of polynomials of the generators of (\mathcal{H}) [1]. $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a subring of \mathcal{H} . As an algebra, Ω has a subalgebra $\bar{\Omega}$ with the basis

$$(3) \quad \left\{ F(m_i, n_i, n) \equiv \left[\prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{+m_i} a_i^{n_i} \right] E^n | m_i, n_i, n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

It can be regarded as the extension of the basis of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{H})$ to $m_i, n_i, n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

2. - New realization of loop algebra G .

Let T be a finite-dimensional faithful representation of Lie algebra G with generators $\{X_\alpha | \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ that satisfy the Lie product

$$(4) \quad [X_\alpha, X_\beta] = \sum_{\nu=1}^N C_{\alpha\beta}^\nu X_\nu,$$

where complex numbers $C_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ are structure constants. There exists a subalgebra of $\bar{\Omega}$ generated by

$$(5) \quad \left\{ X_\alpha^m | X_\alpha^m \equiv \sum_{j,k=1}^N T(X_\alpha)_{jk} a_j^+ a_k E^{m-1}, m \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

It is easy to prove that

$$(6) \quad [X_\alpha^m, X_\beta^n] = \sum C_{\alpha\beta}^\nu X_\nu^{m+n}$$

which is just the commutation relations of the loop algebra (also called untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra, or Kac-Moody algebra without central term). Therefore, (5) generates a loop algebra \hat{G} associated with the Lie algebra G . The expression X_α^m is a new Bose realization of loop algebra $\bar{\Omega}$ which is different from that in ref. [9].

For example, for the Pauli's representation of Lie algebra $SU(2)$, we can obtain the Bose realization of the loop algebra $\widehat{SU}(2)$ associated with $SU(2)$

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_+^m = a_1^+ a_2 E^{m-1}, & \sigma_-^m = a_2^+ a_1 E^{m-1}, \\ \sigma_3^m = [a_1^+ a_1 - a_2^+ a_2] E^{m-1} \end{cases} \quad (m \in \mathbf{Z}),$$

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are Pauli's matrices and $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$.

3. - Indecomposable representations of \hat{G} .

The enveloping field $\bar{\Omega}$ is regarded as a left-module of \mathcal{H} , then indecomposable representation of \mathcal{H} is obtained:

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \rho(a_k^+) F(m_i, n_i, n) = F(m_i + \delta_{ik}, n_i, n), \\ \rho(a_k) F(m_i, n_i, n) = F(m_i, n_i + \delta_{ik}, n) + m_k F(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, n_i, n + 1), \\ \rho(E) F(m_i, n_i, n) = F(m_i, n_i, n + 1). \end{cases}$$

Let J be a left ideal of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Omega}/J$ the quotient module, then $\rho(E)$ is not a unit matrix on $\bar{\Omega}/J$ if $(E - \lambda 1) \notin J$.

Making use of the following expression:

$$(9) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} \rho(a_j^+) \rho(a_k) \rho(E)^{m-1},$$

the indecomposable representation of \hat{G} on $\bar{\Omega}$ is obtained as

$$(10) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) F(m_i, n_i, n) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} [F(m_i + \delta_{ij}, n_i + \delta_{ik}, m + n - 1) + m_k F(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, n_i, m + n)].$$

The relations $\{a_i - \mu_i 1 | i = 1, \dots, N, \mu_i \in \mathbf{C}\}$ generate a left ideal I of $\bar{\Omega}$. For the

quotient space $V = \bar{\Omega}/I$, a basis can be chosen as

$$V: \{D(m_i, n) \equiv F(m_i, 0, n) \text{ Mod } I \mid m_i, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

The representation (10) induces on V the representation

$$(11) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) D(m_i, n) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} [\mu_k D(m_i + \delta_{ij}, m + n - 1) + m_k D(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, m + n)].$$

1) The case with $\mu_i \neq 0$. It is observed that the value $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)$ cannot decrease in (11), the integer $M \in \mathbf{Z}$ defines a \hat{G} -invariant subspace V_M of V with basis

$$V_M: \left\{ D(m_i, n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \geq M, m_i, n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Since there does not exist an invariant complementary subspace for any invariant subspace V_M , the representation on V is indecomposable. It is easy to see that there is an invariant subspace chain

$$\dots \supset V_{-2} \supset V_{-1} \supset V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$$

The representation on each quotient space $V(M, K) = V_M/V_{M+K}$ with basis ($K \in \mathbf{N}^+$)

$$V(MK): \left\{ H(m_i, n) \equiv D(m_i, n) \text{ Mod } V_{M+K} \mid M \leq \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \leq M + K - 1 \right\}$$

is obtained from (11) as

$$(12) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) H(m_i, n) = \sum_{jk=1}^N T(X_\alpha)_{jk} [\mu_k H(m_i + \delta_{ij}, m + n - 1) + m_k H(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, m + n)].$$

The representation (12) is the infinite-dimensional indecomposable representation when $K \geq 2$. In the case of $K = 1$, the representation on $V(M, 1)$ becomes

$$(13) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) H(m_i, n) = \sum_{jk=1}^N T(X_\alpha)_{jk} m_k H(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, m + n),$$

which is the infinite-dimensional irreducible representation.

2) The case with $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$, the representation (11) becomes

$$(14) \quad \dot{I}(X_\alpha^m) D(m_i, n) = \sum_{jk=1}^N T(X_\alpha)_{jk} m_k D(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}, m + n).$$

It is noted that the value $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)$ cannot change in (14), the integer $R \in \mathbf{Z}$ defines a \hat{G} -invariant subspace $V^{[R]}$ of V with basis

$$V^{[R]}: \left\{ D(m_i, n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^N m_i = R, m_i, n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

and V can be decomposed as

$$V = \sum_{R \in \mathbf{Z}} \oplus V^{[R]}.$$

Thus, the representation (14) on V is complete reducible. The representation subduced on each $V^{[R]}$ is the infinite-dimensional irreducible representation.

4. – Finite-dimensional representations.

The relation $\{E - \lambda \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \mid \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ generates a left ideal J of V . A basis for the quotient space $W = V/J$ can be chosen as

$$W: \{P(m_i) \equiv D(m_i, 0) \text{ Mod } J \mid m_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

The representation (11) induces on W a new representation

$$(15) \quad I(X_\alpha^m) P(m_i) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} [\mu_k \lambda^{m-1} P(m_i + \delta_{ij}) + m_k \lambda^m P(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij})].$$

When $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$, (15) is complete reducible, otherwise, decomposable. From (15), it can be seen that the subspace W^+ spanned by

$$(16) \quad W^+: \{P(m_i) \mid m_i \in \mathbf{N}, i = 1, \dots, N\}$$

is a \hat{G} -invariant subspace. The representation subduced on W^+ is (15) with the condition $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N \in \mathbf{N}$.

a) The case with $\mu_i \neq 0$. In the case of $\mu_i \neq 0$, the representation on W^+ is indecomposable. It is noted that $\sum_{i=1}^N m_i$ in $P(m_i)$ cannot decrease under the action of the representation I of \hat{G} , therefore, for $M \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists a \hat{G} -invariant

subspace W_M^+ of W^+ with basis

$$(17) \quad W_M^+ : \left\{ P(m_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \geq M; m_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

and a \hat{G} -invariant subspace chain

$$W^+ = W_0^+ \supset W_1^+ \supset W_2^+ \supset \dots \supset W_M^+ \supset W_{M+1}^+ \supset \dots$$

For $K \in \mathbf{N}^+$, we can construct quotient spaces $W^+[M, K]$ with basis

$$W^+(M, K) : \left\{ Q(m_i) \equiv P(m_i) \text{ Mod } W_{M+K}^+ \mid M \leq \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \leq M + K - 1; m_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

It is easy to prove that the dimension of $W^+[M, K]$ is

$$(18) \quad \dim W^+[M, K] = \sum_{t=M}^{M+K-1} \frac{(N+t-1)!}{(N-1)! t!}.$$

The representation on $W^+[M, K]$ can be obtained from (15):

$$(19) \quad \Gamma(X_\alpha^m) Q(m_i) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} [\mu_k \lambda^{m-1} Q(m_i + \delta_{ij}) + m_k \lambda^m Q(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij})].$$

In the case of $K \geq 2$, this representation is indecomposable. When $K = 1$, the representation on $W^+[M, 1]$ is

$$(20) \quad P(X_\alpha^m) Q(m_i) = \sum_{jk} T(X_\alpha)_{jk} m_k \lambda^m Q(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i = M \right)$$

that is an irreducible representation with dimension

$$(21) \quad \dim W^+(M, 1) = \frac{(M+N-1)!}{M!(N-1)!}.$$

b) The case with $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$, the representation on W^+ is complete reducible. In fact, W^+ can be decomposed as

$$(22) \quad W^+ = \sum_{R \in \mathbf{N}}^{\oplus} W^{+[R]},$$

where $W^{+[R]}$ is spanned by

$$(23) \quad W^{+[R]} : \left\{ P(m_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^N m_i = R; R, m_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

The representation subduced on every $W^{+[R]}$ can be obtained as

$$(24) \quad \Gamma(X_x^m)P(m_i) = \sum_{jk} T(X_x)_{jk} m_k P(m_i - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{ij}) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i = R; R, m_i \in \mathbf{N} \right),$$

that is an irreducible representation with dimension

$$(25) \quad \dim W^{+[R]} = \frac{(N + R - 1)!}{(N - 1)! R!}.$$

5. - Representation of loop algebra $\widehat{SU}(2)$.

According to Bose realization (7) of $\widehat{SU}(2)$ and expression (9), the indecomposable representation of $\widehat{SU}(2)$ on the enveloping field $\bar{\Omega}$ of 2-states Bose algebra \mathcal{H}

$$(26) \quad \bar{\Omega}: \{F(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, n) \equiv a_1^{+m_1} a_2^{+m_2} a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2} E^n | m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

can be obtained:

$$(27) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m) F(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, n) &= F(m_1 + 1, m_2, n_1, n_2 + 1, n + m - 1) + \\ &\quad + m_2 F(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1, n_1, n_2, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) F(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, n) &= F(m_1, m_2 + 1, n_1 + 1, n_2, n + m - 1) + \\ &\quad + m_1 F(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1, n_1, n_2, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) F(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, n) &= F(m_1 + 1, m_2, n_1 + 1, n_2, m + n - 1) - \\ &\quad - F(m_1, m_2 + 1, n_1, n_2 + 1, m + n - 1) + (m_1 - m_2) F(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, m + n). \end{aligned} \right.$$

Firstly, let us discuss the infinite-dimensional representation. The representation on $V = \bar{\Omega}/I$ is obtained from (27):

$$(28) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m) D(m_1, m_2, n) &= \mu_2 D(m_1 + 1, m_2, n + m - 1) + \\ &\quad + m_2 D(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) D(m_1, m_2, n) &= \mu_1 D(m_1, m_2 + 1, n + m - 1) + \\ &\quad + m_1 D(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) D(m_1, m_2, n) &= \mu_1 D(m_1 + 1, m_2, m + n - 1) - \\ &\quad - \mu_2 D(m_1, m_2 + 1, m + n - 1) + (m_1 - m_2) D(m_1, m_2, m + n). \end{aligned} \right.$$

In the case of $\mu_1 \neq 0$ or $\mu_2 \neq 0$, the representation (28) is indecomposable. It is

observed that the value $(m_1 + m_2)$ cannot decrease in (28), the integer $M \in \mathbf{Z}$ defines an invariant subspace V_M of V with basis

$$(29) \quad V_M: \{D(m_1, m_2, n) | m_1 + m_2 \geq M; m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

There exists an invariant subspace chain

$$\dots V_{-2} \supset V_{-1} \supset V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$$

For $K \in \mathbf{N}^+$, we can define a quotient space $V(M, K)$ with basis

$$(30) \quad V[M, K]: \{H(m_1, m_2, n) \equiv \\ \equiv D(m_1, m_2, n) \text{ Mod } V_{M+K} | M \leq m_1 + m_2 \leq M + K - 1\}.$$

The representation on $V(M, K)$ is obtained from (28):

$$(31) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)H(m_1, m_2, n) = \mu_2 H(m_1 + 1, m_2, n + m - 1) + \\ \hspace{15em} + m_2 H(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)H(m_1, m_2, n) = \mu_1 H(m_1, m_2 + 1, n + m - 1) + \\ \hspace{15em} + m_1 H(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)H(m_1, m_2, n) = \mu_1 H(m_1 + 1, m_2, n + m - 1) - \\ \hspace{5em} - \mu_2 H(m_1, m_2 + 1, m + n - 1) + (m_1 - m_2)H(m_1, m_2, m + n) \\ \hspace{15em} (M \leq m_1 + m_2 \leq M + K - 1). \end{array} \right.$$

If we define an ‘‘angular momentum basis’’ for $V(M, K)$

$$(32) \quad |(n), j, s\rangle = \frac{H(j + s, j - s, n)}{\sqrt{(j + s)!(j - s)!}},$$

where $j = M/2, (M + 1)/2, (M + 2)/2, \dots, (M + K - 1)/2, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, the representation (31) becomes

$$(33) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)|(n), j, s\rangle = (j + s + 1)^{1/2} \mu_2 |(m + n - 1), j + \frac{1}{2}, s + \frac{1}{2}\rangle + \\ \hspace{10em} + [j(j + 1) - s(s + 1)]^{1/2} |(m + n), j, s + 1\rangle, \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)|(n), j, s\rangle = (j - s + 1)^{1/2} \mu_1 |(m + n - 1), j + \frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}\rangle + \\ \hspace{10em} + [j(j + 1) - s(s - 1)]^{1/2} |(m + n), j, s - 1\rangle, \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)|(n), j, s\rangle = \mu_1 (j + s + 1)^{1/2} |(m + n - 1), j + \frac{1}{2}, s + \frac{1}{2}\rangle + \\ \hspace{5em} + \mu_2 (j - s + 1)^{1/2} |(m + n - 1), j + \frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}\rangle + 2s |(m + n), j, s\rangle, \end{array} \right.$$

that is an infinite-dimensional indecomposable representation in the case of $K \geq 2$. When $K = 1$, the representation (32) becomes

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)|(n, j, s) = [j(j+1) - s(s+1)]^{1/2} |(m+n, j, s+1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)|(n, j, s) = [j(j+1) - s(s-1)]^{1/2} |(m+n, j, s-1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)|(n, j, s) = 2s |(m+n, j, s) \end{cases} \quad \left[j = \frac{M}{2}, s \in \mathbf{Z} \right],$$

that is an infinite-dimensional irreducible representation.

In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, the representation (28) becomes

$$(35) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)D(m_1, m_2, n) = m_2 D(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)D(m_1, m_2, n) = m_1 D(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1, m + n), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)D(m_1, m_2, n) = (m_1 - m_2) D(m_1, m_2, m + n). \end{cases}$$

It is observed that the value $m_1 + m_2$ does not change in (35), the integer $R \in \mathbf{Z}$ defines an invariant subspace $V^{[R]}$

$$(36) \quad V^{[R]}: \{D(m_1, m_2, n) | m_1 + m_2 = R; m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

The quotient space $V = \bar{\Omega}/I$ can be decomposed as

$$(37) \quad V = \sum_{R \in \mathbf{Z}}^{\oplus} V^{[R]}.$$

Therefore, the representation on V is complete reducible. On every $V^{[R]}$, the representation is (35) with the condition $m_1 + m_2 = R$. By defining "angular momentum basis" for V

$$(38) \quad |(n, j, s) = \frac{D(j+s, j-s, n)}{\sqrt{(j+s)!(j-s)!}},$$

where $j = R/2 = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, we can obtain the representation on $V^{[R]}$

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)|(n, j, s) = [j(j+1) - s(s+1)]^{1/2} |(m+n, j, s+1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)|(n, j, s) = [j(j+1) - s(s-1)]^{1/2} |(m+n, j, s-1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)|(n, j, s) = 2s |(n, j, s) \end{cases} \quad \left(j = \frac{R}{2}, s \in \mathbf{Z} \right),$$

that is an infinite-dimensional irreducible representation.

Now, let us discuss finite-dimensional representations.

The representation induced on W can be obtained from (28):

$$(40) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m) P(m_1, m_2) = \mu_2 \lambda^{m-1} P(m_1 + 1, m_2) + m_2 \lambda^m P(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) P(m_1, m_2) = \mu_1 \lambda^{m-1} P(m_1, m_2 + 1) + m_1 \lambda^m P(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) P(m_1, m_2) = \mu_1 \lambda^{m-1} P(m_1 + 1, m_2) - \mu_2 \lambda^{m-1} P(m_1, m_2 + 1) + \\ \quad + (m_1 - m_2) \lambda^m P(m_1, m_2) \quad (\lambda \neq 0, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{cases}$$

The representation subduced on W^+ is (40) with the condition $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{N}$. In the case of $\mu_1 \neq 0$ or $\mu_2 \neq 0$, the representation on W^+ is indecomposable. The representation on $W^+(M, K)$ is

$$(41) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = \mu_2 \lambda^{m-1} Q(m_1 + 1, m_2) + m_2 \lambda^m Q(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = \mu_1 \lambda^{m-1} Q(m_1, m_2 + 1) + m_1 \lambda^m Q(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = \mu_1 \lambda^{m-1} Q(m_1 + 1, m_2) - \mu_2 \lambda^{m-1} Q(m_1, m_2 + 1) + \\ \quad + (m_1 - m_2) \lambda^m Q(m_1, m_2) \quad (K \geq 2, \mu_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \mu_2 \neq 0), \end{cases}$$

with dimension

$$\dim W^+(MK) = \frac{1}{2} K[2M + K - 1].$$

The representation on $W^+(M, 1)$ is

$$(42) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = m_2 \lambda^m Q(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = m_1 \lambda^m Q(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) Q(m_1, m_2) = (m_1 - m_2) \lambda^m Q(m_1, m_2) \quad (m_1 + m_2 = M, \mu_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \mu_2 \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

If we define an ‘‘angular momentum basis’’ for $W^+(M, 1)$,

$$(43) \quad |j, s\rangle = \frac{Q(j+s, j-s)}{\sqrt{(j+s)!(j-s)!}},$$

where $j = M/2 = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$, $s = j, j-1, j-2, \dots, -j$, the representation (42) becomes

$$(44) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_{\pm}^m) |j, s\rangle = \lambda^m \sqrt{(j \mp s)(j \pm s + 1)} |j, s \pm 1\rangle, \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) |j, s\rangle = \lambda^m 2s |j, s\rangle, \end{cases}$$

that is an irreducible representation with dimension $M + 1 = 2j + 1$.

In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, the representation on W^+ becomes

$$(45) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_+^m)P(m_1, m_2) = m_2 \lambda^m P(m_1 + 1, m_2 - 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_-^m)P(m_1, m_2) = m_1 \lambda^m P(m_1 - 1, m_2 + 1), \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)P(m_1, m_2) = (m_1 - m_2) \lambda^m P(m_1, m_2), \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \neq 0, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{N}),$$

that is a complete reducible representation. Obviously, W^+ can be decomposed as

$$W^+ = \sum_{R \in \mathbf{N}}^{\oplus} W^{+[R]},$$

where $W^{+[R]}$ is spanned by

$$(46) \quad W^{+[R]}: \{P(m_1, m_2) | m_1 + m_2 = R; m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{N}; R \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

The representation subduced on $W^{+[R]}$ is (45) with the condition $m_1 + m_2 = R$. If we define an ‘‘angular momentum basis’’ for $W^{+[R]}$

$$(47) \quad |j, s\rangle = \frac{P(j+s, j-s)}{\sqrt{(j+s)!(j-s)!}},$$

where $j = R/2 = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots, s = j, j-1, j-2, \dots, -j$, then we can obtain the representation on $W^{+[R]}$

$$(48) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma(\sigma_{\pm}^m)|j, s\rangle = \lambda^m \sqrt{(j \mp s)(j \pm s + 1)} |j, s \pm 1\rangle, \\ \Gamma(\sigma_3^m)|j, s\rangle = \lambda^m 2s |j, s\rangle, \end{cases}$$

that is an irreducible representation with dimension $R + 1 = 2j + 1$.

According to (44) (or (48)), we can construct irreducible representations with any dimension $d \in \mathbf{N}$. For example, when $j = 1$, we can obtain the irreducible representation of $\widehat{SU}(2)$ with dimension 3 (where $\lambda \neq 0$):

$$\Gamma(\sigma_+^m) = \lambda^m \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma(\sigma_-^m) = \lambda^m \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma(\sigma_3^m) = 2\lambda^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

* * *

The authors would like to thank Prof. Zhao-Yan Wu for his useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. O. BARUT and R. RACZKA: *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Polish Scientific, Warsaw, 1977).
- [2] L. HLAVATY and J. NIEDERLE: *Czech. J. Phys. B*, **29**, (3), 283 (1979).
- [3] P. A. M. DIRAC: *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **23**, (8), 677 (1984).
- [4] B. GRUBER, H. D. DOEBNER and P. J. FEINSILVER: *Kinam*, **4**, 241 (1982).
- [5] B. GRUBER, A. U. KLIMYK and Y. F. SMIRNOV: *Nuovo Cimento A*, **69**, 97 (1982).
- [6] R. LENCZEWSKI and B. GRUBER: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **19**, 1 (1986).
- [7] C.-P. SUN: *J. Phys. A*, **20**, 4551 (1987).
- [8] C.-P. SUN: *J. Phys. A*, **20**, 5823 (1987).
- [9] C.-P. SUN: *J. Phys. A*, **20**, L1157 (1987).
- [10] B. GODDARD and D. OLIVE: *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **1**, 303 (1986).
- [11] K. WOLF: in *Group Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 3, edited by E. M. LOEBL (Academic Press, New York, N.Y.), p.190.

● RIASSUNTO (*)

Si dà una nuova realizzazione dell'algebra ad ansa \hat{G} (algebra di Kac-Moody affine non intrecciata) sul campo involupante $\bar{\Omega}$ dell'algebra di Bose \mathcal{H} . Usando questa nuova realizzazione si elaborano rappresentazioni non scomponibili a dimensioni infinite non triviali e rappresentazioni a dimensioni finite di \hat{G} su $\bar{\Omega}$ e i suoi spazi quozienti. Infine si discute in dettaglio, come esempio esplicito, l'algebra ad ansa $\widehat{SU}(2)$ associata all'algebra di Lie $SU(2)$.

(*) Traduzione a cura della Redazione.

Новая реализация алгебры петель и неприводимые модули.

Резюме (*). — Предлагается новая реализация алгебры петель \hat{G} (раскрученная аффинная алгебра Как-Мууди) на огибающем поле $\bar{\Omega}$ алгебры Бозе \mathcal{H} . Используя эту новую реализацию, конструируются нетривиальные бесконечномерные неприводимые представления и конечномерные представления \hat{G} на $\bar{\Omega}$ и их частные пространства. В заключение, подробно обсуждается пример алгебры петель $\widehat{SU}(2)$, связанной с алгеброй Ли $SU(2)$.

(*) Переведено редакцией.