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#### Abstract

We present a complete－quantum description of a multiparticle Szilard engine that consists of a working substance and a Maxwell＇s demon．The demon is modeled as a multilevel quantum system with specific quantum control，and the working substance consists of identical particles obeying Bose－Einstein or Fermi－Dirac statistics． In this description，a reversible scheme to erase the demon＇s memory by a lower－temperature heat bath is used． We demonstrate that（1）the quantum control of the demon can be optimized for a single－particle Szilard engine so that the efficiency of the demon－assisted thermodynamic cycle could reach the Carnot cycle＇s efficiency and （2）the low－temperature behavior of the working substance is very sensitive to the quantum statistics of the particles and the insertion position of the partition．
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## I．INTRODUCTION

Maxwell introduced in 1871 a notorious being，known as Maxwell＇s demon（MD）nowadays，to discuss the＂limitations of the second law of thermodynamics＂［1］．Such a demon distinguishes the velocities of the gas particles and controls a tiny door on a partition of the gas container to create a temperature difference，which breaks the Clausius statement of the Second Law of Thermodynamics（SLoT）．To reveal the essence of the the MD，Szilard proposed a single－particle heat engine［2］，named the Szilard heat engine（SHE）．The demon in SHE distinguishes the positions of the particles after the partition has been inserted．With the help of the MD，SHE can absorb heat from a single heat resource and convert it into work without apparently evoking other changes in the cycle． Szilard pointed out that the SLoT was no longer violated if one considered the entropy increase during the measure－ ment．Brillouin generalized Szilard＇s argument and identified the thermodynamic entropy with the informational entropy first［3］．

However，the measurement could be carried out without any change in entropy［4］．Actually，it was realized that a logically irreversible process must be＂accompanied by dissipative effects＂［5］in the physical realization of the information processing，which is known as Landauer＇s erasure principle． Bennett used this point of view in the study of the MD paradox and pointed out that the erasure of the demon＇s memory instead of the measurement was logically irreversible and thus must be accompanied by dissipative effects［4］．With these observations，the conventional cycle presented by Szilard is indeed not a thermodynamic cycle because the demon＇s memory has not been erased to complete the cycle．The SLoT will be saved if one considers the erasure process to finish the cycle of the demon．As people believe the essence of information should be discussed in the framework of quantum mechanics，various quantum versions of SHE have been proposed with different views about quantum measurements． One proposal is semiclassical $[6,10]$ ．The working substance in this proposal is quantum mechanic，while the demon is considered as a classical controller whose role is to extract

[^0]information through measurement and control the system．The paradox of the MD was solved by arguing Landauer＇s erasure principle．However，the final solution should include the MD in the cycle and treat the MD in a quantum fashion［7，11］．For SHE，it is also proved the existence of the MD will not violate the SLoT in Ref．［8］，where the MD is modeled as a two－level system．

The focus of study in both classical and quantum mechan－ ical frameworks is the erasure process，which is crucial to solving the MD paradox．In an ordinary way，the demon and the working substance are in contact with the same heat bath． After erasing the demon by applying some work，one will find that SHE cannot extract work in a cycle at all and the SLoT is not violated［9］．However，a more general erasure should be done with a lower－temperature heat bath，which is also called a heat sink．In this situation，we turn SHE into a thermal dynamic cycle，where the nonviolation of the SLoT can be proved by illustrating that it does not exceed Carnot＇s efficiency．It is realized that the effective temperature of the MD＇s initial state actually characterizes the error in the control of the heat engine［7，8］．Our previous work in Ref．［8］ emphasized the functions of the demon with errors in the study of the single－particle SHE．But the erasure schemes in Refs．［7，8］are irreversible．Therefore，the efficiencies of the heat engines in these papers cannot reach the Carnot cycle＇s efficiency．One purpose of the present paper is to establish an optimal scheme of the thermodynamic cycle with a reversible erasure process，assisted by the demon．It is shown that the partition－removing process is not always reversible，which leads to the lower efficiency．We also find the existence of the optimal expansion position to improve the efficiency of the single－particle SHE to the Carnot cycle＇s efficiency．The other purpose of this paper is to reveal the role of the quantum statistical properties of the working substance．We generalize our previous works about a demon－assisted quantum heat engine by using a multiparticle working substance，which is the ideal Bose or Fermi gases，and find that the ratio of the work extracted to the working temperature has discontinuous behavior，and that discontinuous behavior is closely related to the degenerate－ground－state phenomenon．

The paper is organized as follows：In Sec．II we describe the model of quantum multiparticle SHE and the working scheme briefly．In Sec．III we study in detail the five steps
of the working scheme: insertion, measurement, controlled expansion, removing, and erasure separately and calculate the work applied and heat transferred in each step. In Sec. IV the efficiency of the engine is evaluated. It is found that our heat engine's efficiency cannot exceed the Carnot cycle's efficiency. For single-particle SHE, we optimize the scheme to make the efficiency of the engine reach the one of Carnot's. In Sec. V we discuss the behavior of the engine in a low-temperature regime and show the ratio of the work extracted to the working temperature is closely related to the degenerate point (whose definition can be seen in this section) and the particles' statistical properties. Conclusions and remarks are given in Sec. VI.

## II. QUANTUM MULTI-PARTICLE SZILARD ENGINE

The working substance is modeled as a collection of $N$ particles confined in a chamber, which is described as a one-dimensional infinitely deep square well with width $L$ illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Generally the particles can satisfy any distribution, Bose distribution, or Fermi distribution. We calculate the canonical partition function $Z_{n}(l)$ as follows, where the subscript $n$ denotes the number of the particles in the well and $l$ represents the width of the well. Its explicit expression is given in Appendix A. In the following calculations, we do not specify the concrete partition functions in most cases. The results obtained are valid for both Bose and Fermi distributions except for the case we claim specifically.

In the model, the MD is described as a quantum system with $N+1$ energy levels to record the complete information about how many particles are in each compartment after the insertion process. We may use a demon with a number of energy levels less than $N+1$, but it can record only partial information of the working substance, which decreases the work extract. We consider in the present paper only the demon with $N+1$ levels, with $|i\rangle$ its $i$-th eigenstate and $\Delta_{i}$ the energy of state


FIG. 1. (Color online) Modeling the chamber of gas by a onedimensional infinite square well. (a) The chamber is modeled as a one-dimensional infinite square well with width $L$ where the particles are confined. The inner lines in the well represent the single-particle energy levels of the well. (b) After the insertion, the chamber is split into two chambers, which are modeled as two 1D infinite square wells with widths $l$ and $L-l$, respectively. The inner lines represent the single-particle energy levels.
$|i\rangle$. The energy of $|0\rangle$ is set to be the zero point of energy, i.e., $\Delta_{0}=0$. For a demon without errors, its initial state is a pure state, namely, $\rho_{d 0}=|0\rangle\langle 0|$. In this paper we study the demon with errors generally, whose initial state can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{d 0}=p_{0}^{(0)}|0\rangle\langle 0|+p_{1}^{(0)}|1\rangle\langle 1|+\cdots+p_{N}^{(0)}|N\rangle\langle N| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p_{i}^{(0)}$ vanish for all $i \neq 0$, this demon returns to the one without errors, where $|0\rangle$ is the standard state. For a demon with errors, a larger population $p_{0}^{(0)}$ in the state $|0\rangle$ means a more efficient demon [8].

The thermodynamic cycle consists of the five steps. At the beginning, the working substance is in equilibrium with the heat resource at a higher temperature $T_{1}$, and the demon is initially in the state $\rho_{d 0}$ [see Eq. (1)]. In the first step, a partition is inserted at position $l$, which splits the initial well into two, with widths being $l$ and $L-l$, respectively [see Fig. 1(b)]. After the insertion, there are $N+1$ possible situations, and one does not know which situation happens. Suppose $i$ to be the number of the particles in the right compartment. Then the $N+1$ situations correspond to $i=0,1, \ldots, N$. In the second step, the demon measures the working substance and records this information in its memory. For the error-free demon, it would be in the state $|i\rangle$ for the $i$ th situation after the measurement. However, the demon with errors may record wrong information. For example, the working substance is in the 0th situation while the demon is in the state $|1\rangle$ as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). In the third step, the partition acts as a piston and expands under the control of the demon. Specifically, if the demon is in the state $|i\rangle$, it will move the piston to the position $l_{i}$ [see Fig. 2(b)]. Actually one can optimize the expansion position $l_{i}$ to get the best efficiency. In the fourth step, the partition is removed, and the working substance returns to its initial state. After these four steps, the working substance absorbs heat from the heat resource and converts it into work. It seems to violate the SLoT. However, the demon has not returned to its initial state to complete the cycle. Thus, an additional step, step five, is needed to erase the memory of the demon. The effect of this step saves the SLoT as pointed out by Landauer's erasure principle.

## III. QUANTUM THERMODYNAMIC CYCLE OF MULTIPARTICLE SZILARD ENGINE

In this section we analyze in detail the thermodynamic cycle of the quantum multiparticle SHE. We calculate the heat absorbed and work applied in each step.

## A. Step 1: Insertion

In the first step, the partition is inserted isothermally, which means the working substance is in contact with a heat resource and the process is quasistatic. Before the insertion, the state of working substance $\rho_{s 0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N}(L)=\frac{1}{Z_{N}(L)} e^{-\beta_{1} H_{N}(L)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=1 / T_{1}$ is the inverse temperature and the Boltzmann constant is set to be a unit, i.e., $k_{B}=1$, and $H_{N}(L)$ represents the Hamiltonian of $N$ particles confined in the infinite square well with width $L . Z_{N}(L)$ is the corresponding partition


FIG. 2. (Color online) The states of the chamber and the demon (a) before and (b) after the expansion process. (a) After the measurement, the demon has revealed the number of the particles in the right compartment $i$ and recorded this information in its memory. If the demon is error free, then its inner state (or memory) will be $|i\rangle$. However, when the demon contains some errors, there would be some mistakes in the demon's memory. For example, subfigure (2) shows a situation when $i=0$ and the demon is in state $|1\rangle$. Thus, there are total $(N+1)^{2}$ situations after the measurement for a system with $N$ particles. Here the total of nine situations are listed for $N=2$. (d) After the measurement, the partition acts as a piston under the control of the demon. If the demon is in the state $|i\rangle$, the piston will move to a new position $l_{i}$. Such movement is called controlled expansion. Here the results of the expansion for all nine situations are listed for $N=2$.
function, and its explicit expression is presented in Appendix A. After the insertion, the chamber is split into two parts with widths $l$ and $L-l$, respectively, and the substance is in an equilibrium state as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{s, \text { ins }}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) \rho_{N-i}^{L}(l) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}(L-l) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\rho_{N-i}^{L}(l)$ describes an equilibrium state of the left chamber with a similar meaning as those in $\rho_{N}(L)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}(l)=\frac{Z_{N-i}(l) Z_{i}(L-l)}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} Z_{N-i}(l) Z_{i}(L-l)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the probability to find $i$ particles in the right chamber with insertion position being $l$. As the process is isothermal, the work applied in this step is the difference of the free energies after and before the insertion, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{ins}}=F_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ins}}-F_{s 0}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the heat absorbed is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathrm{ins}}=T_{1}\left(S_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ins}}-S_{s 0}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free energy and the entropy are given in terms of the partition functions as

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{s, \text { ins }}=-T_{1} \ln \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} Z_{N-i}(l) Z_{i}(L-l)\right],  \tag{7}\\
F_{s 0}=-T_{1} \ln Z_{N}(L) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{s, \text { ins }}=\left(1-\beta_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{1}}\right) \ln \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} Z_{N-i}(l) Z_{i}(L-l)\right]  \tag{9}\\
S_{s 0}=\left(1-\beta_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{1}}\right) \ln Z_{N}(L) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. As shown in Ref. [6], in quantum mechanics framework, the insertion work $W_{\text {ins }}$ is no longer zero. Moreover, it was proved in Ref. [8] that $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} W_{\text {ins }}=\infty$.

## B. Step 2: Measurement

In the second step, the total system is isolated from the heat bath. The demon finds out the number of the particles in the right compartment. This measurement process aims at establishing the correlation between the working substance and the demon. For the demon with no error, the state of the demon after the measurement is $|i\rangle$ when there are $i$ particles in the right compartment. For the demon with errors, its initial state is a mixed state. Thus, we should appoint the final states of the demon for all situations. Let the final state be $\left|f_{i}(j)\right\rangle$ when the demon is initially in the state $|j\rangle$ and the number of the particles in the right compartment is $i$. Mathematically $f_{i}: j \mapsto f_{i}(j)$ is a map from the set $\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$ to itself. If the demon is error-free, the final state will be $|i\rangle$ when there are $i$ particles in the right compartment, which leads the first constraint of $f_{i}$, i.e., $f_{i}(0)=i$. With this notation, the operator representing this measurement is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\sum_{l_{i}}\left|\psi_{l_{i}}^{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{l_{i}}^{i}\right| \otimes \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left|f_{i}(j)\right\rangle\langle j|\right], \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\psi_{l_{i}}^{i}\right\rangle$ represents the $l_{i}$-th eigenstate of the working substance when there are $i$ particles in the right compartment. For a physical operation, $U$ should be unitary (so that it is a quantum nondemolition premeasurement), which leads to another constraint of $f_{i}(j)$. That is, for a fixed $i$, when $j$ runs over from 0 to $N, f_{i}(j)$ should also run over from 0 to $N$. Thus, $\left\{f_{i}(j) \mid j=0,1, \ldots, N\right\}$ is a permutation of $\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$, which satisfies $f_{i}(0)=i$. When $N=1$, there is only one function of such kind, and the corresponding operator $U$ represents a controlled-NOT operation. For $N>1$, such a function exists. One realization is $f_{i}(j) \equiv i+j$ $(\bmod N+1)$.

After the measurement, the state of the total system becomes $\rho_{\text {mea }}=U \rho_{s, \text { ins }} \otimes \rho_{d 0} U^{\dagger}$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{mea}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N}|j\rangle\langle j| \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}(j)}^{(0)} \rho_{N-i}^{L}(l) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}(L-l), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}^{-1}: j \mapsto f_{i}^{-1}(j)$ is the inverse of the map $f_{i}$. It follows from Eq. (12) that there is a possibility that the demon is in the state $|i\rangle$ while the number of particles in the right compartment is not $i$. That is exactly what we mean by "error" within the demon. Due to the isolation from the heat bath, there is no heat transferred, $Q_{\text {mea }}=0$, and the work applied is just the energy change during the measurement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}^{(0)}\right)-p_{j}^{(0)}\right] \Delta_{j}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which compensates for the energy difference between the initial state and the final state of the demon during the measurement. Therefore, if all the energy levels of the demon are degenerate, one will not apply any work to perform the measurement. Thus the work applied may not always be nonzero [4].

## C. Step 3: Controlled Expansion

In this step the partition in the chamber acts as a piston and will be moved to the position according to the memory of the demon. Specifically, if the state of the demon is $|i\rangle$, the final position will be $l_{i}$, which is called expansion position. This expansion process is slow enough, and the working substance is in contact with the heat resource during the total expansion process. Thus the state of the total system after the controlled expansion is
$\rho_{\text {exp }}=\sum_{j=0}^{N}|j\rangle\langle j| \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}(j)}^{(0)} \rho_{N-i}^{L}\left(l_{j}\right) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}\left(L-l_{j}\right)$.

However, the "impenetrability" of the piston does not make this process an isothermal process since the transition between two states with different numbers of the particles in the right compartment is forbidden. Thus we should deal with this expansion process for each situation separately. For the situation when the demon is in state $|j\rangle$ and there are $i$ particles in the right compartment, the work applied $W_{i j}$ is
$W_{i j}=-T_{1} \ln Z_{N-i}\left(l_{j}\right) Z_{i}\left(L-l_{j}\right)+T_{1} \ln Z_{N-i}(l) Z_{i}(L-l)$,
and the heat absorbed $Q_{i j}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i j}= & T_{1}\left\{S\left[\rho_{N-i}^{L}\left(l_{j}\right) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}\left(L-l_{j}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-S\left[\rho_{N-i}^{L}(l) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}(L-l)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of this situation is $p_{i j}=P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}^{(0)}$. Thus, the total work applied and the total heat absorbed are

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\exp } & =\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} W_{i j},  \tag{15}\\
Q_{\exp } & =\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} Q_{i j}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. One can prove that even if the controlled expansion is not isothermal, the heat absorbed also satisfies the relationship $Q=T \Delta S$, where $\Delta S$ is the entropy change of the total system. Since the states with different numbers of the particles in the right compartment are orthogonal to each other, the entropies of the total system before and after the controlled expansion are

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{mea}}=H\left(\left\{p_{i j}\right\}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} S_{\mathrm{mea}, i j}  \tag{17}\\
& S_{\mathrm{exp}}=H\left(\left\{p_{i j}\right\}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} S_{\mathrm{exp}, i j} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $H\left(\left\{p_{i j}\right\}\right)=-\sum_{i j} p_{i j} \ln p_{i j}$. Thus, we have the following relationship:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1} \Delta S & =T_{1}\left(S_{\exp }-S_{\mathrm{mea}}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} T_{1}\left(S_{\exp , i j}-S_{\text {mea }, i j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i j} Q_{i j}=Q_{\exp } . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

## D. Step 4: Removing

After the controlled expansion, the partition will be removed slowly while the working substance is in contact with the heat resource. Because the state in Eq. (14) before removing it is not a thermal equilibrium state (it is because the controlled expansion is not an isothermal process; see the last subsection), one should carefully deal with this step. Once lifted a little, the partition is no longer "impenetrable," and the particles can fly between the two sides of the chamber freely to reach an equilibrium state. Thus the removing process consists of two substeps (see Fig. 3). After the first substep is finished, the


FIG. 3. (Color online) The removing process. (a) Before the removing, the state of the working substance may not be an equilibrium state because of the "impenetrability" of the partition (see Sec. III C). (b) When the partition is lifted a little, it is no longer impenetrable, and the particles can fly between the two sides of the chamber freely to reach the equilibrium state. (c) The partition keeps being lifted and finally can be removed isothermally.
partition has been lifted to create a small slit with width $d_{p}$ through which the particles can fly from one side to the other. However small the width $d_{p}$ of the slit is, the working substance can reach its equilibrium state. Thus, we can let the width of the slit tend to zero, i.e., $d_{p} \rightarrow 0$, which makes this substep a thermalization process of the working substance while the partition remains still. Thereafter the state of the system after the first substep becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{rev}}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}^{(1)}|j\rangle\langle j| \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}\left(l_{j}\right) \rho_{N-i}^{L}\left(l_{j}\right) \otimes \rho_{i}^{R}\left(L-l_{j}\right), \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}^{(1)}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}$. During this process, there is no work applied (since $d_{p} \rightarrow 0$ ), and the heat absorbed is exactly the difference between the inner energies of the total system before and after the first substep:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathrm{rev}}^{\prime}= & \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[p_{j}^{(1)} P_{i}\left(l_{j}\right)-P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}^{(0)}\right] \\
& \times\left\{U\left[\rho_{N-i}^{L}\left(l_{j}\right)\right]+U\left[\rho_{i}^{R}\left(L-l_{j}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

After the thermalization, the working substance is in a thermal equilibrium state, which makes the rest substep, the second substep, an isothermal process. After removing it, the working substance returns to its initial state, and the correlation between the working substance and the demon no longer exists. During the second substep, the work applied and the heat absorbed are

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\mathrm{rev}}= & T_{1}\left\{-\ln Z_{N}(L)+\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}^{(1)}\right. \\
& \left.\times \ln \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} Z_{N-i}\left(l_{j}\right) Z_{i}\left(L-l_{j}\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{22}\\
Q_{\mathrm{rev}}= & T_{1}\left\{S\left(\rho_{s 0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}^{(1)} S\left[\rho_{s, \text { ins }}\left(l_{j}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, and the state of the total system after the removing process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{rev}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}^{(1)}|j\rangle\langle j| \otimes \rho_{s 0} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see that the state of the demon does not return to its initial state, which does not make the above four steps a thermodynamic cycle. Thus, an addition step, erasure, is needed.

## E. Step 5: Erasure

In Eq. (24) the state of the demon after the removing process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{d 1}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}^{(1)}|j\rangle\langle j|, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is different from the initial state of the demon. As part of the engine, the demon should be reinitialized to complete
the cycle. Because the entropy of $\rho_{d 1}$ is different from the entropy of $\rho_{d 0}$, such a reinitialization process, or erasure process, cannot be realized by a unitary evolution and must be a logical irreversibility process accompanied by dissipative effects [5]. A natural way to complete the reinitialization process is to make use of a thermalization process. It can be shown that the heat bath used in the thermalization process is a heat sink instead of a heat resource. Because the entropy of $\rho_{d 1}$ is larger than the entropy of $\rho_{d 0}$, the heat must be transferred from the demon to the heat bath. It seems that after a series of complex tasks, the demon needs to be cooled down. According to thermodynamics, the best reinitialization process is a reversible one, which does not cost additional dissipative work. Before going into the general discussion, we first consider a three-level demon as an example to find how to reinitialize or erase the demon reversibly.

The three-level demon considered is shown in Fig. 4, where the three states are $|0\rangle,|1\rangle$, and $|2\rangle$ respectively. The energy of the state $|0\rangle$ is set to be zero, and the energy of the state $|i\rangle$ is $\Delta_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. For a demon in its initial state, the populations of the three levels are $p_{0}^{(0)}, p_{1}^{(0)}$, and $p_{2}^{(0)}$, respectively [see Fig. 4(d)]. To be more concrete, we assume that $p_{0}^{(0)}=0.7$ while $p_{1}^{(0)}=0.2$ and $p_{2}^{(0)}=0.1$. Suppose that the populations become $p_{0}^{(1)}=0.3, p_{1}^{(1)}=0.5$, and $p_{2}^{(1)}=0.2$, respectively, after the removing process [see Fig. 4(a)]. In order to reinitialize it by using a reversible scheme by means of a heat bath with $T_{2}=1$, we adjust the demon's energy-level spacings adiabatically [see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. After being adjusted, the level spacings are changed from $\Delta_{i}(i=1,2)$ to $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}$ [see Fig. 4(b)]. During this process, the population of each state remains unchanged. Thus, the new level spacings satisfy the following relationship to ensure that the effective temperature of the demon is $T_{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{p_{0}^{(1)}}=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta_{i}^{\prime}}{T_{2}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$, which implies $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=-0.5108$ and $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}=0.4055$. Next, the demon is kept in contact with the heat bath at temperature $T_{2}$ without any undesired irreversible heat transfer, and we adjust the energies of its levels isothermally [see Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. In this process, the population of each level changes as the level spacings change, and the final populations are adjusted to be $p_{0}^{(0)}, p_{1}^{(0)}$, and $p_{2}^{(0)}$, respectively, which are the initial populations of the demon. Therefore the new level spacings $\Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}(i=1,2)$ satisfy the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{i}^{(0)}}{p_{0}^{(0)}}=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{2}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.2528$ and $\Delta_{2}^{\prime \prime}=1.9459$ [see Fig. 4(c)]. After that, the population of each state has returned to its initial value while the level spacings do not. Thus we need another adiabatical adjusting process as in the first step to reinitialize the level spacings [see Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)]. These three substeps complete the reinitialization process and are all reversible, which makes the total reinitialization process reversible.


FIG. 4. (Color online) Erasing the memory of a three-energylevel demon reversibly. Suppose that the populations of the three levels after the removing process the partition are $p_{0}^{(1)}=0.3, p_{1}^{(1)}=$ 0.5 , and $p_{2}^{(1)}=0.2$ as in (a) and the initial state's populations of the three levels are $p_{0}^{(0)}=0.7, p_{1}^{(0)}=0.2$, and $p_{2}^{(0)}=0.1$ as in (d). In step 1 (a),(b), the demon is isolated from the heat bath, and the energy-level spacings are adjusted from $\Delta_{i}$ to $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$. During this process, the populations of the levels remain constant. The new level spacings are chosen so that the demon has an effective temperature $T_{2}=1$ after the adjusting, which implies $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=-0.5108$ and $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}=0.4055$. In (b) the minus sign in front of $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}$ is due to the negative value of $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}$. In step 2 (b),(c), the demon is in contact with a real heat bath at temperature $T_{2}$, and the energies of the levels are adjusted. In this step the populations of the levels change while its energy spacings change. The goal of this step is to let the populations of the levels return to be $p_{0}^{(0)}, p_{1}^{(0)}$, and $p_{2}^{(0)}$. Thus the new level spacings are $\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.2528$ and $\Delta_{2}^{\prime \prime}=1.9459$. In step 3 (c),(d), the demon is isolated from the heat bath again, and its level spacings are adjusted to return to its initial values. The isolation guarantees that the populations of the levels are not changed. Thus the state of the demon has returned to its initial state.

The above example inspires us to construct the reversible reinitialization scheme in a more general case. In the following discussion, a detailed scheme of the reinitialization process is presented. In the first substep, we adjust the energy level of the state $|j\rangle$ for $j \neq 0$ adiabatically while the energy of $|0\rangle$ is kept fixed. The corresponding new state is denoted as $\left|j^{\prime}\right\rangle$. During this substep, the demon is isolated from the heat sink. The target is to let the demon's effective temperature be $T_{2}$, which is the temperature of the heat sink. Thus, the new level spacing $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(-\beta_{2} \Delta_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(0)}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the demon after the first substep is in the state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{d, \text { eral }}=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right]\left|j^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle j^{\prime}\right| . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noticed that Eq. (28) always has a solution except for some extreme conditions, for example, $\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}=0$ or $T_{2}=0$. If these extreme conditions happen, we will use some tricks to deal with it. In the case of $T_{2}=0$, we will introduce a small positive quantity $\varepsilon$ and let $T_{2}^{\prime}$ be $T_{2}+\varepsilon$. Next we will use $T_{2}^{\prime}$ instead of $T_{2}$ to calculate the parameters of the scheme such as $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Such a scheme is not reversible. However, if $\varepsilon$ tends to zero, the scheme will tend to behave as a reversible scheme. In the following, we assume that Eq. (28) does have a solution. In this substep, there is no heat transfer, and the work applied is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{ini}, 1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right]\left(\Delta_{j}^{\prime}-\Delta_{j}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the second substep, demon is in contact with the heat sink and the $j$ th level's energy is adjusted from $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ to $\Delta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ isothermally, where $\Delta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{e^{-\beta_{2} \Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}}{\sum_{i} e^{-\beta_{2} \Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\beta_{2}$ is the inverse temperature of the heat sink. Thus, the state of the demon after this substep is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{d, \mathrm{era2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{j}\left|j^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\left\langle j^{\prime \prime}\right|, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|j^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ represents the state corresponding to $\left|j^{\prime}\right\rangle$ after the adjusting. The work applied and the heat transferred during this process are

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\mathrm{ini}, 2} & =-\frac{1}{\beta_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\ln \left(1+e^{-\beta_{2} \Delta_{j}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(1+e^{-\beta_{2} \Delta_{j}^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right],  \tag{33}\\
Q_{\mathrm{ini}} & =T_{2}\left(H\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)-H\left\{\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right]\right\}\right), \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Here $H\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)=-\sum_{i} p_{i} \ln p_{i}$ represents the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$.

In the last substep, the demon is isolated from the heat sink again, and the energies of all of its levels are adjusted to its initial values. Thus the final state of the demon is $\sum_{j} p_{j}|j\rangle\langle j|$, which is indeed its initial state. During this substep, no heat transfers, and the work applied is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{ini}, 3}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}\left(\Delta_{j}-\Delta_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three substeps together make up the reinitialization scheme. Such a scheme involves adjusting the energies of the demon's levels, which is not easily realized. In a concrete experiment, if the quantum system that functions as the MD has unchangeable energy levels, we will only use an irreversible
scheme as in Refs. [7,8]. As we know in thermodynamics, such an irreversible reinitialization scheme reduces the efficiency of the heat engine because of the dissipative effects. Thus, when it comes to the MD paradox, one needs a reversible reinitialization scheme without dissipative effects to get a good physical picture.

## IV. EFFICIENCY OF THE SZILARD ENGINE

Combining the results obtained in the above section, one finally gets the total heat transferred and the work extracted in the thermodynamic cycle:
(1) The heat absorbed from the heat resource is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} & =Q_{\mathrm{ins}}+Q_{\mathrm{exp}}+Q_{\mathrm{rev}}^{\prime}+Q_{\mathrm{rev}} \\
& =T_{1}\left[H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}(j)} \ln P_{i}\left(l_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) The heat released to the heat sink is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2}=-Q_{\mathrm{ini}}=T_{2}\left(H\left[\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right\}\right]-H\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) The work extracted is

$$
\begin{align*}
W= & -W_{\mathrm{ins}}-W_{\mathrm{mea}}-W_{\mathrm{exp}}-W_{\mathrm{rev}} \\
& -W_{\mathrm{ini}, 1}-W_{\mathrm{ini}, 2}-W_{\mathrm{ini}, 3} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be checked to satisfy the first law of thermodynamics, i.e., $W=Q_{1}-Q_{2}$.

First, it is emphasized that the result is general without referring to the statistical properties of the working substance, since $P_{i}(l)$ has contained all the information of the distribution. Thus, Eqs. (36)-(38) are correct both for the Bose system and the Fermi system. Second, one can optimize the expansion position $l_{j}$ to maximize the efficiency of SHE. Consider a twoparticle SHE as an example, in which the demon is three-level. The function $f_{i}(j)$ that we choose is $f_{i}(j) \equiv i+j(\bmod 3)$. Thus the heat absorbed from the heat resource is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}= & T_{1} H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{0}\left(l_{0}\right)+P_{1}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{1}\left(l_{0}\right)+P_{2}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{2}\left(l_{0}\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{0}\left(l_{1}\right)+P_{1}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{1}\left(l_{1}\right) \\
& +P_{2}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{2}\left(l_{1}\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{0}\left(l_{2}\right)+P_{1}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{1}\left(l_{2}\right)+P_{2}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{2}\left(l_{2}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Thereafter, the optimized expansion position $l_{i}$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q_{1}}{\partial l_{0}}=\frac{\partial Q_{1}}{\partial l_{1}}=\frac{\partial Q_{1}}{\partial l_{2}}=0 . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Working out the above equation, one will get the optimized expansion positions $l_{0 \text { max }}, l_{1 \text { max }}$, and $l_{2 \max }$.

Third, an upper limit of the heat absorbed from the heat resource $Q_{1}$ in Eq. (36) is estimated. Use the method presented in the Appendix B, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1} \leqslant T_{1}\left[H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)} \ln \frac{P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}}\right]=T_{1}\left(H\left[\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right\}\right]-H\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the efficiency of the SHE has the upper limit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=1-\frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} \leqslant 1-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it is clear that the efficiency of the SHE cannot exceed the Carnot cycle's efficiency when the erasure process or reinitialization process is considered, which saves the SLoT. It can be seen more clearly when $N=1$, which represents a single-particle SHE. For a single-particle SHE, there is only one realization of $f_{i}(j)$, and the corresponding measurement process is indeed a controlled-NOT operation as in Refs. [7,8], i.e., $f_{0}(j)=j$ for $j=0,1$ while $f_{1}(1)=0$ and $f_{1}(0)=1$. The formulas Eqs. (36) and (37) are reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}= & T_{1}\left[H\left(P_{0}(l), P_{1}(l)\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{0}\left(l_{0}\right)\right. \\
& +P_{1}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{1}\left(l_{0}\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{0}\left(l_{1}\right) \\
& \left.+P_{1}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{1}\left(l_{1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{2}= & T_{2}\left[H \left(P_{0}(l) p_{1}+P_{1}(l) p_{0}, P_{0}(l) p_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+P_{1}(l) p_{1}\right)-H\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)\right], \tag{37'}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. It is easy to maximize $Q_{1}$ to find that $l_{0 \max }$ and $l_{1 \text { max }}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}\left(l_{0 \max }\right)=\frac{P_{0}(l) p_{0}}{P_{0}(l) p_{0}+P_{1}(l) p_{1}},  \tag{43}\\
& P_{0}\left(l_{1 \max }\right)=\frac{P_{0}(l) p_{1}}{P_{0}(l) p_{1}+P_{1}(l) p_{0}}, \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and the maximum of $Q_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1 \max }= & T_{1}\left[H\left(P_{0}(l) p_{0}+P_{1}(l) p_{1}, P_{0}(l) p_{1}+P_{1}(l) p_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-H\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)\right] \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 5. (Color online) Work extracted $W$ as the function of insertion position $l / L$ and the working temperature $T_{1}$. (a) Bose case with $N=4$. (b) Fermi case with $N=4$.

Thus, it is found that $Q_{1 \max }$ is always positive whatever the insertion position is. Combining Eqs. (37) and (45), one gets the best efficiency as

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{\max } & =1-\frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1 \max }}  \tag{46}\\
& =1-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is concluded that for a single-particle SHE, one can always choose a good expansion position to let the SHE reach the Carnot cycle's efficiency even if the demon contains some errors. Recall that in step 4 of the thermodynamic cycle, the removing process, one needs to thermalization the working substance first. However, for a single-particle SHE, it is found that the expansion position can always be chosen that the thermalization process is not needed. Thus, a single-particle SHE can always be a reversible heat engine with two heat baths, and its efficiency reaches the Carnot cycle's efficiency. On the other hand, for a multiparticle SHE, the thermalization process is always needed in the removing process, which makes the heat engine irreversible. Thus, a multiparticle SHE's efficiency cannot always reach Carnot cycle's efficiency.

At the end of this section, a concrete example is presented to show the difference between the Bose system and the Fermi system. In this example, a four-particle system is considered as the working substance. The demon we chosen is a five-level quantum system with some errors. Its initial state is

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{d 0}= & 0.7000|0\rangle\langle 0|+0.2100|1\rangle\langle 1|+0.0630|2\rangle\langle 2| \\
& +0.0189|3\rangle\langle 3|+0.0081|4\rangle\langle 4| . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The temperature of the heat sink is fixed that $T_{2}=1$. Denote the higher temperature and the insertion position as $T_{1}$ and $l$, respectively. Then the work extracted by the heat engine during a thermodynamic cycle can be numerically calculated for both the Bose case and the Fermi case. The results are shown in Fig. 5. As one can see, the patterns in Fig. 5 for different distributions are quite different. In fact, in the next section, it is found that the difference between the Bose case and the Fermi case is most significant in a low-temperature regime.

## V. LOW-TEMPERATURE BEHAVIOR WITH DIFFERENT QUANTUM STATISTICS

In this section the behavior of a multiparticle SHE when the working temperature $T_{1}$ is very low is studied. In Sec. IV a

necessary condition for the heat engine to extract positive work is found to be $T_{1}>T_{2}$. Thus, if $T_{1}$ considered is very small, the temperature of the heat sink will be $T_{2}=0$. The demon in this case is free of errors because it is natural to get a pure initial state if the demon is reinitialized by a zero-temperature heat sink. The motivation to involve the demon without error is to see the difference between the Bose system and Fermi system more clearly without the effect of the demon's errors. As mentioned in step 5 of Sec. III, it is less likely for one to reinitialize such a demon by using a reversible scheme; however, one can use an asymptotic scheme to reinitialize the demon.

Now we first describe the asymptotic scheme in detail. Similar to the reinitialization scheme in Sec. III, the asymptotic scheme also contains three substeps. The three substeps are illustrated in Fig. 6. In the first substep, the energies of the levels $|i\rangle$ for $i \neq 0$ are adjusted from $\Delta_{i}$ to a very small value $\Delta(\neq 0)$ adiabatically. During this substep, there is no heat transferred. In the second substep, the demon is in contact with the heat sink at temperature $T_{2}=0$ until it is in equilibrium with the heat sink. During this substep, there is no work applied, and the final state of the demon is a pure state for its temperature is zero when $\Delta \neq 0$. In the third substep, the energies of the demon's levels are readjusted adiabatically to its initial value. Thus, these three substeps complete the reinitialization process of the demon. If we use this scheme, $Q_{1}$ in Eq. (36) will not change, and $Q_{2}$ in Eq. (37) will be revised to be the heat transferred in the second substep. Due to the irreversibility of the second substep, this scheme is not reversible. Nevertheless, if one lets $\Delta$ tend to $0, Q_{2}$ will tend to 0 , which makes the scheme reversible. Thus, Eqs. (36) and (37) will still hold if one uses this asymptotic scheme. In the following, this asymptotic scheme is used in which the relationships $Q_{2}=0$ and $W=Q_{1}$ hold.

Since the initial state of the demon is a pure state, which corresponds to the conditions $T_{2}=0$ and $p_{j}=\delta_{j 0}$, the work extracted can be given by the relationship $W=Q_{1}$ and (36) as

$$
\begin{align*}
W & =T_{1}\left[H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) \ln P_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =T_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) \ln \left[\frac{P_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)}{P_{i}(l)}\right] \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

This is just the same as that in Ref. [6], and it can be calculated by a different way from in Ref. [12]. Then we will use Eq. (49) to study the Bose system and the Fermi system separately.

First, we study the behavior of $W / T_{1}$ when $T_{1} \rightarrow 0$. According to Eq. (49), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W}{T_{1}}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) \ln \left[\frac{P_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)}{P_{i}(l)}\right] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the expansion position $l_{i}$ have been optimized as in Sec. IV. Thus $P_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)$ is always no less than $P_{i}(l)$. For a deterministic distribution, $\left\{P_{i}(l) \mid P_{i}(l)=\delta_{i j}\right\}, W / T_{1}$ in Eq. (50) becomes $\ln P_{j}\left(l_{j}\right)$. Due to the optimization of $l_{j}$, we have $1 \geqslant$ $P_{j}\left(l_{j}\right) \geqslant P_{j}(l)=1$ or $P_{j}\left(l_{j}\right)=1$. Thus, it is concluded that $W / T_{1}$ is always 0 when $\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}$ is a deterministic distribution. In other words, the necessary condition for a nonzero $W / T_{1}$ is that the informational entropy $H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)$ of the distribution $\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}$ is nonzero.

Using the joint entropy theorem [14], one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{s, \text { ins }}=H\left[\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right]+\sum_{i} P_{i}(l) S_{i}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\mathrm{s}, \text { ins }}$ is the entropy of the working substance after the insertion and $S_{i}$ represents the working substance's entropy in the condition that the number of the particles in the right compartment is $i$. Due to the non-negative property of entropy, one finds $S_{\mathrm{s} \text {, ins }} \geqslant H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)$. Therefore if the entropy of the working substance is zero, one will find that $H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)=0$ and $W / T_{1}=0$. For a system with its ground state nondegenerate, its entropy always tends to zero when the temperature tends to zero, which is referred to as the third law of thermodynamics. Thus, it is found that, if the insertion position $l$ makes the ground state of the working substance nondegenerate, one will always have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{W}{T_{1}}=0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if the insertion position $l$ makes the ground state of the working substance degenerate, there will be a chance that $W / T_{1}$ tends to nonzero. Such a position $l$ is called a degenerate point. Thus, the relationship between the lowtemperature behavior of $W / T_{1}$ and the degenerate point has been established. A similar result is found in Ref. [13].

Then we look for the degenerate points for both the ideal Bose system and the ideal Fermi system. For the ideal Bose system, all particles condense in the ground state of the system when the temperature is zero. Thus the only chance for the ground state to be degenerate is that the energy of the left compartment's single-particle ground state is the same as that

(a)
(b)

FIG. 7. (Color online) Degenerate ground states for a Fermi gas. When the Fermi surface contains more than one single-particle states, there is a chance that the ground state of the Fermi gas is degenerate. For example, the states in (a) and (b) are two ground states of the system.
of the right compartment, which happens when the widths of the two compartments are equal to each other. Thereafter it is found that there is only one degenerate point for the ideal Bose system, i.e., $l=L / 2$.

For a Fermi system, the particles satisfy Pauli's exclusion principle. When the temperature is zero, all the energy levels under the Fermi surface are occupied. Thus if the $i$ th single-particle energy level of the left compartment and the $j$ th single-particle energy level of the left compartment are both on the Fermi surface, the ground state of the total gas may be degenerate; see Fig. 7. The index $i$ and $j$ should satisfy the relationship that $i+j-1$ equals the number of the particles $N$. Thus there are $N$ situations corresponding to $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Thereafter it is found that there are $N$ degenerate points for an ideal Fermi system with $N$ particles. As the two compartments are modeled as two one-dimensional infinite square wells with widths $l$ and $L-l$, respectively, one can work out their spectrum as in Eq. (A1). Thus the conditions of the degenerate points can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\hbar i \pi)^{2}}{2 m l^{2}}=\frac{[\hbar(N+1-i) \pi]^{2}}{2 m(L-l)^{2}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 8. (Color online) $W / T_{1}$ as the function of the insertion position $l / L$ and the working temperature $T_{1}$. (a) A Bose system with $N=2$. (b) A Bose system with $N=3$. (c) A Bose system with $N=4$. (d) A Fermi system with $N=2$. (e) A Fermi system with $N=3$. (f) A Fermi system with $N=4$.

Therefore the positions of the Fermi system's degenerate points are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l}{L}=\frac{i}{N+1} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we have revealed the qualitative difference of the work extracted by the engine between the Bose-type and the Fermitype working substance at low temperature. That difference in the quantum statistical behavior between the Bose and the Fermi working substance will disappear as the temperature $T_{1}$ increase. The numerical simulation in Fig. 8 quantitatively illustrates the statistical behavior at finite temperature. When $T_{1}$ tends to zero, the numerical calculations confirm the above results. A similar result is also found in Ref. [13].

## VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, the multiparticle SHE has been studied in a complete quantum framework, where the reinitialization process of the MD is discussed in detail. The errors are introduced in the demon so that one can use a finite-temperature heat sink to cool the demon while the traditional demon must be cooled by a zero-temperature heat sink. For a single-particle SHE, there is a scheme that the whole cycle of the SHE can be reversible. We illustrated that the efficiency of such a scheme is indeed the efficiency of Carnot cycle, and other schemes have lower efficiency. And it is proved that SHE cannot exceed the limit of the SLoT.

On the other hand, the properties of the SHE with Bose or Fermi particles are studied. In the high-temperature regime, these two distributions make no difference. Both of them reduce to the result of Maxwell distribution. In contrast, the Bose system and the Fermi system differ from each other in the low-temperature regime. The origin of the difference is the difference of the degenerate points of these two distributions. The SHE whose insertion position is a degenerate point extracts more work in a cycle. If the temperature is absolute zero, the ratio of the work extracted to the working temperature will show some discontinuous properties.
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## APPENDIX A: MULTIPARTICLE PARTITION FUNCTION

In this Appendix the explicit expressions of the multiparticle partition functions for the ideal Bose gas and the ideal Fermi gas are presented. Let $Z_{n}(l, \beta)$ be the canonical partition function for $n$ particles, where $\beta$ represents the inverse temperature of the system and $l$ represents some parameters of the system; e.g., in our paper $l$ means the width of the infinite square well. To present the explicit expression of $Z_{n}(l, \beta)$, the explicit expression of the single-particle partition function $Z_{1}(l, \beta)$ is given first. Then the relationship between the multiparticle partition function and the single-particle partition function is shown.

The single-particle partition function does not depend on which distribution the particle satisfies. Once one knows the
single-particle spectrum of the system, one can write its canonical partition function. For example, the single-particle spectrum in a one-dimensional infinite square well with width $l$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}(l)=\frac{(\hbar i \pi)^{2}}{2 M l^{2}}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the mass of the particle and $i$ is the index of the energy levels. Then the single-particle partition function of this system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}(l, \beta)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_{i}(l)} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When it comes to a multiparticle partition function, we first take the two-particle system as an example. In this condition, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}^{\mathrm{bose}(\text { fermi })}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_{m}}\right)^{2} \pm \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-2 \beta E_{m}}\right] \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices $\beta$ and $l$ have been omitted for simplicity. Here the summation, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-2 \beta E_{m}\right)$, can be viewed as a single-particle partition function with spectrum $\left\{2 E_{m}\right\}$. Thus, it is found that $Z_{2}^{\text {bose(fermi) }}$ can be decomposed into some single-particle partition functions. In general, all the partition functions of the ideal gas, $Z_{n}^{\text {bose(fermi) }}$, can be decomposed into some single-particle partition functions in this way. The next task is to work out the coefficients in the decomposition.

In the following discussion, the system considered has a discrete spectrum, and the index of the energy levels is denoted by $i=1,2,3, \ldots$ Let $n_{i}$ be the number of the particles in the $i$ th level. To be convenient, we denote $\exp \left(-\beta E_{i}\right)$ as $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$, and the multiparticle partition function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}=\sum_{\left\{n_{i}\right\}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}^{n_{i}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the summation condition is that $\sum_{i} n_{i}=n$ and $n_{i}=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ for the Bose case or $n_{i}=0,1$ for the Fermi case. It is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(t) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{n}^{\text {bose }} t^{n}=\prod_{i} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} t}  \tag{A5}\\
& E(t) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{n}^{\text {fermi }} t^{n}=\prod_{i}\left(1+x_{i} t\right) \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, if $t=\exp (-\beta \mu)$, one will find that $H(t)$ and $E(t)$ are nothing but the grand canonical partition functions for an ideal Bose case and ideal Fermi case, respectively. In mathematics, $H(t)$ and $E(t)$ are the generating functions for $Z_{n}^{\text {bose }}$ and $Z_{n}^{\text {fermi }}$, respectively, and they have the following simple relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\frac{1}{E(-t)} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the single-particle partition function with spectrum $\left\{j E_{m}\right\}, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-j \beta E_{m}\right)=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{j}$, is denoted as $P_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ Its generating function is

$$
\begin{align*}
P(t) & \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{j} t^{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i}\left(x_{i} t\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{i} t\right)^{j}=\sum_{i} \frac{x_{i} t}{1-x_{i} t} . \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\frac{t}{H(t)} \frac{d H(t)}{d t} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P(t)}{t}=\frac{d}{d t} \ln H(t) \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By indefinite integration, one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} P_{j} t^{j}=\ln H(t)+C \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the constant of integration, and it is not difficult to find that $C=0$. So there is the following important relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} P_{j} t^{j}\right) \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compare the coefficient of $t^{n}$ in both sides of Eq. (A12), and one can find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}^{\text {bose }}=\sum_{\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}} \frac{1}{z\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)} P\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right), \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ and the summation condition is $\sum_{\alpha} \alpha i_{\alpha}=n$, which corresponds to a summation for all the Young diagrams with $n$ boxes. The $z\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)=i_{1}!1^{i_{1}} \cdot i_{2}!2^{i_{2}} \cdots i_{n}!n^{i_{n}} \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $P\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)$ is the product of some single-particle partition functions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)=P_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdot P_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots P_{n}^{i_{n}} . \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have decomposed the multiparticle partition function into some single-particle partition functions for Bose case. For the Fermi case, using the relationship in Eq. (A7), one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} P_{j} t^{j}\right] \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, compare the coefficient of $t^{n}$ in both sides of Eq. (A16), and it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}^{\text {fermi }}=\sum_{\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}} \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{\alpha}\left(i_{\alpha}-1\right)}}{z\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right)} P\left(\left\{i_{\alpha}\right\}\right), \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation condition is the same as in the Bose case.

## APPENDIX B: THE UPPER LIMIT OF $Q_{1}$

In this Appendix the upper limit of $Q_{1}$ in Eq. (36) is estimated. To this end, a two-particle SHE is used as an example firstly, in which the demon considered is three-level. The function $f_{i}(j)$ is chosen as $f_{i}(j) \equiv i+j(\bmod 3)$. Thus the heat absorbed from the heat resource is the one shown in Eq. (39). To estimate the upper limit of $Q_{1}$, Eq. (39) should be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}= & T_{1} H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+P_{0}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{0,0}+P_{1}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{1,0} \\
& +P_{2}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{2,0}+P_{0}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{0,1}+P_{1}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{1,1} \\
& +P_{2}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{2,1}+P_{0}(l) p_{2} \ln P_{0,2}+P_{1}(l) p_{1} \ln P_{1,2} \\
& +P_{2}(l) p_{0} \ln P_{2,2}, \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{i, j}$ satisfy the constraints $P_{i, j}=P_{i}\left(l_{j}\right)$ for all $i$ and $j$. Thus the original optimization problem becomes to be an optimization problem with constraints. This optimization problem with constraints has a maximum solution $Q_{1 \text { max }}$ since $Q_{1}$ in Eq. (B1) has an upper bound $T_{1} H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)$ and the domain of $\left\{P_{i, j}\right\}$ is closed. Substitute these constraints by the weaker constraints $\sum_{i} P_{i, j}=1$ for all $j$; then we get another optimization problem with constraints. After solving this problem we will get another maximum $Q_{1 \text { max }}^{\prime}$. Because the constraints in the latter problem are weaker than the constraints in the former one, we have $Q_{1 \text { max }} \leqslant Q_{1_{\text {max }}}^{\prime}$. Thus $Q_{1_{\text {max }}}^{\prime}$ is a upper limit of $Q_{1}$. The calculation of $Q_{1 \text { max }}^{\prime}$ can be performed in terms of Lagrange multipliers. Namely, one needs only to solve an optimization problem without constraint in which the target function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}\left(\left\{P_{i, j}\right\}\right)+\lambda_{0} \sum_{i} P_{i, 1}+\lambda_{1} \sum_{i} P_{i, 0}+\lambda_{2} \sum_{i} P_{i, 2}, \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{2}$ are three Lagrange multipliers. Solving this constraint-free optimization problem, one finds that the maximum solution $Q_{1 \text { max }}^{\prime}$ is reached when $P_{i, j}$ satisfies the following relationships:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0,0}: P_{1,0}: P_{2,0}=P_{0}(l) p_{0}: P_{1}(l) p_{2}: P_{2}(l) p_{1} \\
& P_{0,1}: P_{1,1}: P_{2,1}=P_{0}(l) p_{1}: P_{1}(l) p_{0}: P_{2}(l) p_{2}  \tag{B3}\\
& P_{0,2}: P_{1,2}: P_{2,2}=P_{0}(l) p_{2}: P_{1}(l) p_{1}: P_{2}(l) p_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

For a general situation, this trick can also be used to find an upper limit of the heat absorbed from the heat resource $Q_{1}$. To this end, one needs only to maximize $Q_{1}$ under the constraints $\sum_{i} P_{i}\left(l_{j}\right)=1$ for all $j$. Thus the upper limit of $Q_{1}$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1} \leqslant T_{1}\left[H\left(\left\{P_{i}(l)\right\}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)} \ln \frac{P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}}\right]=T_{1}\left(H\left[\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i}(l) p_{f_{i}^{-1}(j)}\right\}\right]-H\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)\right) . \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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