

The q -boson realization of new solutions for the Yang–Baxter equation associated with the quantum algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ at $q^p=1$

Mo-Lin Ge ^a, Xu-Feng Liu ^a and Chang-Pu Sun ^{a,b}

^a *Theoretical Physics Division, Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Tianjin 300071, China*

^b *Physics Department, Northeast Normal University, Changchung 130024, China*

Received 28 June 1991; accepted for publication 26 September 1991

Communicated by A.R. Bishop

In this Letter a series of new solutions for the quantum Yang–Baxter equation is obtained by constructing a new boson realization of λ -dependent representations of the quantum algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ with q a root of unity.

At present, it has been recognized that the solutions for the quantum Yang–Baxter equation (QYBE) can be constructed in terms of the quantum (universal enveloping) algebra $U_q(L)$ of the classical Lie algebra L and its representations [1–3]. Especially, we found that the new solutions, beyond the standard and non-standard ones, for the QYBE without a spectral parameter, can be obtained from the non-generic representations (for q a root of unity, i.e., $q^p=1$, $p=3, 4, \dots$) of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ [4–6]. Both the results obtained and the method used by us are essentially different from those obtained by Date, Jimbo, Miki and Miwa [7].

Naturally, it is reasonable trying to find the new solutions for the QYBE associated with other quantum algebras or a superalgebra such as $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. We have done it for the quantum superalgebra $U_q\mathfrak{osp}(1,2)$ [8]. This paper will be devoted to studying the case of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. To this end, we first generalize the universal R -matrix of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ [9] in such a way that it still works for the non-generic case, in which q is a root of unity, i.e., $q^p=1$, $p=3, \dots$.

To make this generalization, we add the new relations

$$(E_i)^p = (F_i)^p = 0, \quad i=1, 2, \quad (1)$$

to the basic q -commutation relations

$$[H_i, E_i] = 2E_i, \quad [H_i, F_i] = -2F_i,$$

$$[H_i, E_j] = -E_j, \quad [H_i, F_j] = F_j, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} e^{h/2} \frac{\text{sh}(\frac{1}{2}hH_i)}{\text{sh}(\frac{1}{2}h)}, \quad i=1, 2, \quad (2)$$

with $h \in \mathbb{C}$ (the complex number field), $q = e^{h/2}$ and the Serre relations for $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. Here, F_i , E_i and H_i ($i=1, 2$) are the generators for $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. For the third kind of basis elements,

$$E_3 = E_1 E_2 - q E_2 E_1, \quad F_3 = F_1 F_2 - q F_2 F_1, \quad (3)$$

we also require

$$(E_3)^p = (F_3)^p = 0. \quad (4)$$

The generalized algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ by adding relations (1) and (4) is called the non-generic quantum algebra and denoted by $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$.

Endowing $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ with the same coproduct, counit and antipode as for $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, we obtain a Hopf algebra $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. After a long derivation similar to that by Rosso in ref. [9], we obtain the non-generic universal R -matrix for $q^p=1$,

$$\mathcal{R} = \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1-e^{-h})^{m_1}(1-e^{-h})^{m_3}(1-e^{-h})^{m_2}}{\phi_{m_1}(e^{-h})\phi_{m_3}(e^{-h})\phi_{m_2}(e^{-h})} E_2^{m_1} E_3^{m_3} E_1^{m_2} \otimes \eta_2^{m_1} \eta_3^{m_3} \eta_1^{m_2} \right) e^{h/4} \sum_{i=1}^2 H_i \otimes \xi_i, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\phi_n(e^{-h}) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1-e^{-kh}), \quad \eta_i = (1-e^{-h})F_i, \quad i=1, 2, \tag{6}$$

$$\eta_3 = (1-e^h)^{-1}(\eta_1\eta_2 - q\eta_2\eta_1), \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{3}(4H_1 + 2H_2), \quad \xi_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2H_1 + 4H_2). \tag{7}$$

Now, we try to construct a representation ρ for $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, which is also a representation of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, but satisfies $(\rho(E_i))^p = (\rho(F_i))^p = 0$, namely, $\rho(E_i)$ and $\rho(F_i)$ are nilpotent. It is just at this point that our study is essentially different from that made by Date et al., in which $\rho(E_i)$ and $\rho(F_i)$ are not nilpotent and the cyclic representation is used. To construct such representations, we use the new q -boson realization,

$$E_1 = a_1^+, \quad F_1 = -e^{h/2}[N_1 + N_2 + \lambda]a_1, \quad E_2 = -[N_1 + N_2 + \lambda]a_2, \quad F_2 = a_2^+ e^{h/2}, \tag{8}$$

$$H_1 = 2N_1 + N_2 + \lambda, \quad H_2 = -(2N_2 + N_1 + \lambda),$$

where the q -boson operators $a_i = a_i^-, a_i^+$ and N_i ($i=1, 2$) satisfy [10–12]

$$a_i a_i^+ - q \mp \delta_{ij} a_j^+ a_i = \delta_{ij} q^{\pm N_j}, \quad [N_i, a_j^{\pm}] = \pm \delta_{ij} a_j^{\pm}, \quad [N_i, N_j] = 0, \quad [a_i^-, a_j^+] = 0. \tag{9}$$

In fact, using eq. (3), we can verify that E_i, F_i and H_i ($i=1, 2$) defined by (8) satisfy eq. (2) and the Serre relations.

On the q -Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(2)$,

$$\{f(m_1, m_2) = a_1^{+m_1} a_2^{+m_2} |0\rangle \mid |a_i|0\rangle = N_i|0\rangle = 0, i=1, 2; m_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\},$$

we obtain an infinite-dimensional representation f :

$$E_1 f(m_1, m_2) = f(m_1 + 1, m_2), \quad F_1 f(m_1, m_2) = -e^{h/2}[m_1 - 1 + m_2 + \lambda][m_1]f(m_1 - 1, m_2),$$

$$E_2 f(m_1, m_2) = -[m_1 + m_2 - 1 + \lambda][m_2]f(m_1, m_2 - 1), \quad F_2 f(m_1, m_2) = e^{h/2}f(m_1, m_2 + 1),$$

$$H_1 f(m_1, m_2) = (2m_1 + m_2 + \lambda)f(m_1, m_2), \quad H_2 f(m_1, m_2) = -(2m_2 + m_1 + \lambda)f(m_1, m_2). \tag{10}$$

Because $q^p = \pm 1$ leads to $[kp] = 0$, where $[f] = (q^f - q^{-f}) / (q - q^{-1})$, there exists an invariant subspace $V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ($\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$),

$$\text{span}\{f(m_1, m_2) \mid m_i \geq \alpha_i p, i=1, 2; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\},$$

and the representation (10) is reducible. Naturally, on the corresponding quotient space $\mathcal{F}_q(2)/V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$,

$$\text{span}\{\bar{f}(m_1, m_2) = f(m_1, m_2) \bmod V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq \alpha_i p - 1, i=1, 2; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\},$$

we get an $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 p^2$ -dimensional representation $\rho^{[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]}$,

$$\rho^{[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]}(g)\bar{f}(m_1, m_2) = (\rho(g)f(m_1, m_2)) \bmod V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \quad g \in \{E_i, F_i, H_i \mid i=1, 2\}, \tag{11}$$

for $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. In fact, eqs. (10) and (11) only define the representation for $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ when α_1 or $\alpha_2 \neq 1$. Because $(\rho(E_i))^p = (\rho(F_i))^p = 0$ when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, eq. (11) defines a representation $\rho = \rho^{[1,1]}$ for $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ in this case.

When $q^2 = -1$, as an example, the lowest dimensional representation $\rho = \rho^{[\lambda]}$ of $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ is given in an explicit form,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E_1) &= \epsilon_{2,1} + \epsilon_{4,3}, & \rho(F_1) &= -e^{-h/2}([\lambda]\epsilon_{1,2} + [\lambda+1]\epsilon_{3,4}), \\ \rho(E_2) &= -[\lambda]\epsilon_{1,3} - [\lambda+1]\epsilon_{2,4}, & \rho(F_2) &= e^{h/2}(\epsilon_{3,1} + \epsilon_{4,2}), \\ \rho(H_1) &= \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda+2, \lambda+1, \lambda+3), & \rho(H_2) &= \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda+1, \lambda+2, \lambda+3), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where ϵ_{ij} is the unit matrix such that $(\epsilon_{ij})_{k,l} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$.

Using the representation $\rho^{[\lambda]}$ of $\hat{U}_q\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, we immediately obtain the quantum R -matrix

$$R^{[\lambda]} = \rho^{[\lambda]} \otimes \rho^{[\lambda]}(\mathcal{R}) = \text{block diag}(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A'_1, A'_2, A'_3) \exp(\frac{1}{3}h\lambda^2), \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 1, & A'_1 &= -t^4, & t &= q^\lambda, \\ A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 1-t^2 & t \end{pmatrix}, & A'_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -qt^3 & 0 \\ -t^2(1+t^2) & -qt^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 1-t^2 \\ 0 & -t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, & A'_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -qt^3 & 0 & -t^2(1+t^2) \\ 0 & -t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -qt^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & qt(1-t^2) & 0 \\ q^{-1}t(1+t^2) & qt^2 & 1-2t^2-t^4 & q^{-1}t(1+t^2) \\ 0 & 0 & qt^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & qt(1-t^2) & t^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to point out that although q is a cyclic parameter, the quantum R -matrix still contains the continuous parameter $t = q^\lambda$.

Another example of an R -matrix given by the non-generic universal R -matrix and the representation (11) for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ is

$$R^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = R(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \rho^{[\lambda_1]} \otimes \rho^{[\lambda_2]}(\mathcal{R}) = \text{block diag}(\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2, \bar{A}_3, \bar{A}_4, \bar{A}'_1, \bar{A}'_2, \bar{A}'_3) \exp(\frac{1}{3}h\lambda_1\lambda_2), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_1 &= 1, & \bar{A}'_1 &= -t_1^2 t_2^2, & t_1 &= q^{\lambda_1}, & t_2 &= q^{\lambda_2}, \\ \bar{A}_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ t_1(t_2^{-1} - t_2) & t_2 \end{pmatrix}, & \bar{A}'_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -qt_1^2 t_2 & 0 \\ -t_1^2(1+t_2^2) & -qt_1 t_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{A}_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & t_2(t_1^{-1} - t_1) \\ 0 & -t_1 t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}, & \bar{A}'_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -qt_1^2 t_2 & 0 & -t_2^2(1+t_1^2) \\ 0 & -t_1 t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -qt_1 t_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{A}_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & qt_2(1-t_1^2) & 0 \\ t_1^2(q^{-1}t_2^{-1} - qt_2) & qt_1 t_2 & -2t_1^2 + (1-t_2^2)(t_1^2+1) & t_2^2(q^{-1}t_1^{-1} - qt_1) \\ 0 & 0 & qt_1 t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & qt_1(1-t_2^2) & t_2^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, because the R -matrix $R(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ satisfies the QYBE

$$R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) = R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3)R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \tag{15}$$

we formally regard the λ 's as the spectral parameters. This point was just pointed out by Jimbo. This kind of parameter is also identified with the so-called colour, which distinguishes the different representations with the same dimension.

The authors thank Professor Jimbo for many useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] V.G. Drinfeld, in: Proc. ICM, Berkeley (1987) p. 798.
- [2] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63; 11 (1986) 247.
- [3] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, LOMI preprints E-4, E-11 (1987).
- [4] C.P. Sun, K. Xue, X.F. Liu and M.L. Ge, J. Phys. A 24 (1991) L545.
- [5] C.P. Sun, X.F. Liu and M.L. Ge, Phys. Lett. A 155 (1991) 137.
- [6] C.P. Sun, X.F. Liu and M.L. Ge, J. Math. Phys. 33 (1991), in press.
- [7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki and T. Miwa, Phys. Lett. A 148 (1990) 45.
- [8] M.L. Ge, K. Xue and C.P. Sun, NKIM preprint (1991).
- [9] M. Rosso, Commun. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 581.
- [10] L.C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) L873.
- [11] C.P. Sun and H.C. Fu, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) L983.
- [12] A.J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) 4551.
- [13] M. Jimbo, Lectures on representation theory for quantum algebras (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), to be published.